

## GAL Program Effectiveness Measures

January 2013

### GAL Program Effectiveness Measures

### GAL Influence on Child Welfare Outcomes

Rank	Circuit	% of Children Assigned to GAL Program	% of Permanency Discharges Current FY to Date	% of Permanency Discharges Rolling 12 Months	% of Kids Assigned to Volunteers to Capacity	% of Appointed Children Assigned to Volunteers	Volunteer Management Index (Cert/Disch)	% of Volunteers Certified as Educational Advocates	% of Volunteers Certified as Transportation Eligible	Operational Excellence Scorecard (Aggregate Ranking)	Community Partner	Children Reunited within 12 months of Entry	Reunited Children Who Re-entered Care within 12 months	% of Adoptions within 24 months (Quarter 2 FY 12/13)	Child in Care & Days - 12 Months with No More than 2 Placements	Medical, Immunization and Dental Services	Children Ages 5-17 Enrolled in School
WGT		NR	NR	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0								
1	12	96.0%	88.1%	90.1%	125.6%	93.4%	1.09	19.7%	16.9%	43	SCC	45.5%	6.7%	51.9%	85.0%	95.6%	100.0%
2	20	61.3%	66.2%	66.8%	97.3%	93.6%	1.43	41.6%	12.1%	45	CNSWFL	60.9%	8.5%	55.2%	84.5%	91.5%	99.8%
3	8	91.4%	89.9%	89.6%	89.7%	92.7%	1.73	19.6%	20.6%	47	PSF	51.0%	5.4%	72.7%	86.0%	95.1%	100.0%
4	2	87.1%	58.9%	64.7%	83.3%	90.8%	2.33	25.7%	14.5%	56	BB	41.6%	6.2%	80.0%	84.7%	90.9%	100.0%
5	5	86.8%	77.0%	70.7%	133.3%	90.3%	1.00	19.0%	15.5%	59	KCI	58.2%	17.4%	49.1%	88.2%	91.4%	97.4%
6	19	64.7%	67.3%	69.3%	102.2%	88.7%	1.56	26.8%	11.5%	63	UFF	37.8%	7.5%	58.6%	78.1%	91.0%	100.0%
6	16	100.0%	64.7%	67.1%	98.1%	85.9%	4.67	23.1%	11.5%	63	OK	42.5%	6.9%	50.0%	85.5%	92.3%	99.9%
8	18	71.7%	56.4%	64.5%	104.3%	88.8%	1.32	20.2%	12.3%	68	BFP	58.7%	19.1%	46.3%	86.7%	96.4%	99.3%
9	15	74.2%	59.7%	58.8%	107.9%	80.9%	2.96	28.8%	9.8%	69	CPB	51.5%	19.1%	62.2%	86.2%	92.6%	99.6%
10	3	100.0%	87.7%	88.7%	138.5%	79.4%	2.20	15.0%	9.2%	73	PSF	51.0%	5.4%	35.3%	86.0%	95.1%	100.0%
10	14	84.3%	86.8%	82.1%	69.7%	78.5%	2.18	29.7%	12.1%	73	BB	41.6%	6.2%	60.0%	84.7%	90.9%	100.0%
12	10	68.8%	84.5%	83.7%	72.3%	81.1%	1.18	15.8%	23.5%	78	HFC	47.9%	9.2%	51.0%	79.2%	89.5%	100.0%
13	6	55.5%	74.9%	67.2%	98.5%	72.9%	1.36	25.6%	12.0%	81	ECA	44.9%	11.3%	65.6%	83.3%	94.1%	99.8%
14	4	67.7%	67.2%	64.1%	68.7%	77.3%	4.80	36.7%	6.8%	88	FSS	35.5%	10.9%	78.3%	89.9%	94.6%	100.0%
15	1	60.8%	58.9%	48.4%	81.6%	68.9%	2.52	39.9%	9.5%	89	FFN	59.1%	10.3%	55.9%	87.9%	93.0%	99.6%
16	17	66.0%	60.3%	60.6%	72.6%	56.6%	5.62	27.6%	11.8%	92	CN	44.3%	8.8%	42.9%	90.0%	95.6%	100.0%
17	13	60.6%	39.2%	36.7%	85.3%	62.8%	3.09	39.6%	7.8%	95	ECH	60.1%	12.9%	56.3%	82.0%	96.4%	99.9%
18	7	68.7%	63.4%	53.7%	81.2%	64.8%	4.13	25.3%	9.5%	96	CPC	30.3%	13.8%	46.2%	87.7%	86.2%	99.8%
19	9 OS	69.3%	85.1%	85.5%	54.3%	59.2%	3.57	9.4%	8.2%	105	CBC9	46.5%	5.3%	50.9%	89.2%	96.4%	99.8%
20	11	63.2%	77.6%	77.0%	82.1%	56.9%	0.75	18.5%	9.1%	114	OK	42.5%	6.9%	48.2%	85.5%	92.3%	99.9%
*	9 OC	99.8%				90.0%				12	CBC9	46.5%	5.3%	50.9%	89.2%	96.4%	99.8%
Statewide		69.8%	67.6%	65.5%	89.5%	75.8%	1.86	26.8%	12.4%			48.8%	10.1%	56.5%	85.6%	93.3%	99.7%

Notes:

\* For Orange County, no recruiting numbers are provided - Program primarily replaces current volunteers

- A. Aggregate Ranking results are summed Circuit ranking scores- lower number equals higher ranking
- B. Color Coding: Green cells: At or better than median performance; Red cells: Below median performance