

GAL Program Effectiveness Measures

April 2013

GAL Program Effectiveness Measures

GAL Influence on Child Welfare Outcomes

Rank	Circuit	% of Children Assigned to GAL Program	% of Permanency Discharges Current FY to Date	% Permanency Discharges Rolling 12 Months	% of Kids Assigned to Volunteers to Capacity	% of Appointed Children Assigned to Volunteers	Volunteer Management Index (Cert/Disch)	% of Volunteers Certified as Educational Advocates	% of Volunteers Certified as Transportation Eligible	Operational Excellence Scorecard (Aggregate Ranking)	Community Partner	Children Reunited within 12 months of Entry	Reunited Children Who Re-entered Care within 12 months	% of Adoptions within 24 months (Quarter 3 FY 12/13)	Child in Care & Days - 12 Months with No More than 2 Placements	Medical, Immunization and Dental Services	Children Ages 5-17 Enrolled in School
WGT		NR	NR	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0								
1	8	91.3%	90.4%	89.7%	85.0%	92.6%	1.41	35.8%	22.9%	42	PSF	59.7%	8.4%	84.6%	84.7%	96.2%	100.0%
2	12	96.7%	89.5%	90.1%	122.9%	91.9%	0.95	27.3%	19.4%	43	SCC	48.4%	8.8%	15.8%	84.8%	95.7%	100.0%
3	20	59.9%	70.9%	70.9%	94.3%	94.3%	1.45	42.3%	12.4%	51	CNSWFL	46.6%	11.0%	55.0%	83.4%	92.6%	99.8%
4	3	100.0%	89.4%	88.8%	152.8%	89.5%	2.00	23.0%	13.1%	54	PSF	59.7%	8.4%	50.0%	84.7%	96.2%	100.0%
5	5	83.6%	74.1%	72.6%	129.4%	89.2%	1.36	27.7%	17.5%	56	KCI	58.9%	13.7%	62.5%	86.9%	94.6%	99.3%
6	19	67.5%	68.9%	66.1%	102.2%	84.3%	1.42	36.8%	17.5%	62	UFF	43.4%	21.4%	61.5%	83.6%	95.7%	100.0%
7	10	76.0%	82.6%	81.6%	81.3%	85.0%	1.85	24.4%	27.9%	66	HFC	49.1%	15.9%	22.2%	82.2%	92.0%	99.8%
8	16	100.0%	69.5%	65.3%	96.7%	89.0%	6.00	25.8%	11.8%	68	OK	46.1%	11.5%	0.0%	85.3%	98.8%	99.9%
9	18	68.3%	56.8%	59.1%	103.2%	90.9%	1.53	21.5%	15.6%	70	BFP	46.1%	6.5%	78.6%	84.5%	97.7%	100.0%
9	6	55.5%	75.7%	73.3%	97.0%	73.4%	1.53	37.0%	14.6%	70	ECA	41.9%	8.2%	72.9%	82.7%	97.7%	99.5%
11	2	89.2%	60.3%	61.8%	84.4%	90.0%	1.12	26.8%	18.8%	72	BB	44.3%	15.3%	88.9%	91.0%	92.2%	99.7%
12	14	86.5%	89.2%	88.3%	75.6%	82.0%	1.20	29.6%	14.8%	78	BB	44.3%	15.3%	100.0%	91.0%	92.2%	99.7%
13	15	70.7%	60.2%	59.9%	112.3%	76.6%	2.37	26.3%	13.0%	82	CPB	47.8%	17.2%	68.4%	89.1%	97.5%	100.0%
14	4	68.3%	71.7%	69.2%	66.1%	78.8%	1.88	36.7%	11.4%	84	FSS	42.4%	4.8%	82.0%	89.2%	96.5%	100.0%
15	13	64.9%	42.7%	41.3%	91.9%	65.6%	2.89	47.9%	8.8%	90	ECH	53.1%	10.4%	61.7%	83.6%	97.0%	98.8%
15	1	63.7%	63.4%	58.0%	80.0%	69.2%	2.76	45.1%	10.9%	90	FFN	60.6%	16.0%	40.0%	89.4%	94.7%	99.8%
17	7	74.4%	68.3%	60.5%	87.0%	66.0%	1.67	28.4%	13.8%	91	CPC	39.5%	18.1%	62.2%	87.7%	91.7%	100.0%
18	17	67.6%	63.6%	62.0%	81.2%	61.4%	3.47	25.1%	16.3%	92	CN	52.5%	8.0%	50.0%	92.4%	98.2%	100.0%
19	9 OS	73.7%	86.5%	86.7%	53.9%	60.5%	2.41	26.7%	10.6%	101	BCB9	50.4%	9.2%	31.4%	88.9%	97.4%	99.3%
20	11	57.7%	75.4%	75.2%	76.1%	58.3%	0.92	24.9%	10.0%	122	OK	46.1%	11.5%	37.3%	85.3%	98.8%	99.9%
*	9 OC	100.0%				78.5%				26	CBC9	50.4%	9.2%	31.4%	88.9%	97.4%	99.3%
Statewide		71.1%	69.5%	68.0%	90.7%	76.3%	1.71	32.2%	15.2%			49.0%	11.2%	57.1%	86.3%	96.1%	99.7%

Notes:

* For Orange County, no recruiting numbers are provided - Program primarily replaces current volunteers

- A. Aggregate Ranking results are summed Circuit ranking scores- lower number equals higher ranking
- B. Color Coding: Green cells: At or better than median performance; Red cells: Below median performance