

GAL Program Effectiveness Measures

February 2013

GAL Program Effectiveness Measures

GAL Influence on Child Welfare Outcomes

Rank	Circuit	% of Children Assigned to GAL Program	% of Permanency Discharges Current FY to Date	% Permanency Discharges Rolling 12 Months	% of Kids Assigned to Volunteers to Capacity	% of Appointed Children Assigned to Volunteers	Volunteer Management Index (Cert/Disch)	% of Volunteers Certified as Educational Advocates	% of Volunteers Certified as Transportation Eligible	Operational Excellence Scorecard (Aggregate Ranking)	Community Partner	Children Reunified within 12 months of Entry	Reunited Children Who Re-entered Care within 12 months	% of Adoptions within 24 months (Quarter 2 FY 12/13)	Child in Care 8 Days - 12 Months with No More than 2 Placements	Medical, Immunization and Dental Services	Children Ages 5-17 Enrolled in School
WGT		NR	NR	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0								
1	8	90.5%	89.4%	89.0%	88.9%	97.7%	1.33	25.1%	20.2%	44	PSF	55.0%	6.7%	72.7%	83.8%	95.0%	100.0%
2	20	62.8%	66.8%	66.6%	96.5%	95.0%	1.43	41.9%	12.4%	49	CNSWFL	57.1%	7.6%	55.2%	85.5%	93.1%	100.0%
3	12	95.9%	88.8%	90.1%	124.6%	91.6%	1.05	19.3%	17.0%	52	SCC	46.9%	10.4%	51.9%	84.7%	95.8%	100.0%
4	5	85.5%	74.4%	71.1%	132.9%	90.8%	1.56	18.7%	15.8%	55	KCI	64.0%	18.2%	49.1%	87.5%	93.7%	99.2%
5	19	64.1%	68.0%	68.9%	103.7%	89.6%	1.53	32.1%	11.7%	57	UFF	39.8%	7.5%	58.6%	79.2%	94.0%	99.4%
6	16	100.0%	66.7%	68.2%	99.2%	86.5%	6.33	28.9%	10.8%	59	OK	39.3%	9.9%	50.0%	86.0%	94.1%	99.9%
7	3	100.0%	88.2%	88.6%	145.9%	83.2%	2.30	22.8%	9.8%	60	PSF	55.0%	6.7%	35.3%	83.8%	95.0%	100.0%
8	2	80.9%	59.5%	63.4%	79.4%	93.3%	1.16	25.6%	16.3%	67	BB	42.9%	8.6%	80.0%	85.9%	90.1%	98.6%
9	10	70.1%	85.4%	85.1%	72.7%	81.2%	1.79	21.7%	25.8%	71	HFC	53.6%	11.0%	51.0%	80.1%	90.4%	99.8%
10	6	55.3%	75.8%	69.5%	100.0%	75.0%	1.72	29.2%	11.8%	73	ECA	44.0%	11.2%	65.6%	82.6%	95.1%	99.4%
11	18	70.8%	56.3%	62.8%	100.1%	88.7%	1.45	20.9%	12.6%	75	BFP	51.0%	14.9%	46.3%	86.2%	96.3%	99.7%
12	14	81.8%	87.6%	84.9%	70.5%	81.6%	1.16	29.7%	13.0%	76	BB	42.9%	8.6%	60.0%	85.9%	90.1%	98.6%
13	15	73.8%	59.8%	60.5%	110.0%	79.2%	2.27	28.3%	10.6%	78	CPB	52.7%	19.7%	62.2%	86.2%	93.1%	99.6%
14	1	59.7%	60.3%	50.9%	79.3%	69.1%	2.83	41.5%	10.4%	86	FFN	64.0%	14.3%	55.9%	89.1%	93.7%	99.8%
15	4	66.4%	68.6%	64.9%	68.7%	80.5%	1.95	35.0%	7.2%	90	FSS	40.4%	4.8%	78.3%	89.8%	95.1%	100.0%
16	17	68.2%	61.3%	59.7%	74.5%	57.5%	4.84	26.2%	13.5%	94	CN	45.0%	7.5%	42.9%	90.4%	95.6%	99.9%
16	13	62.2%	40.9%	37.8%	87.9%	63.9%	2.53	41.3%	8.5%	94	ECH	61.0%	18.2%	56.3%	82.7%	95.6%	99.0%
18	7	68.3%	65.0%	55.4%	82.7%	66.6%	1.23	27.1%	11.7%	102	CPC	36.5%	14.3%	46.2%	88.0%	85.0%	99.6%
19	9 OS	100.0%	86.3%	86.9%	55.4%	60.4%	2.71	9.4%	8.8%	104	CBC9	48.8%	3.4%	50.9%	89.4%	96.2%	99.7%
20	11	60.9%	78.4%	78.7%	83.6%	59.0%	1.05	21.0%	9.4%	111	OK	39.3%	9.9%	48.2%	86.0%	94.1%	99.9%
*	9 OC	68.9%				90.0%				12	CBC9	48.8%	3.4%	50.9%	89.4%	96.2%	99.7%
Statewide		69.7%	68.4%	66.3%	89.9%	76.8%	1.75	28.3%	13.1%			50.5%	10.9%	56.5%	85.7%	93.8%	99.6%

Notes:

* For Orange County, no recruiting numbers are provided - Program primarily replaces current volunteers

- A. Aggregate Ranking results are summed Circuit ranking scores- lower number equals higher ranking
- B. Color Coding: Green cells: At or better than median performance; Red cells: Below median performance