

# GAL Program Effectiveness Measures

June 2013

## GAL Program Effectiveness Measures

## GAL Influence on Child Welfare Outcomes

Rank	Circuit	% of Children Assigned to GAL Program	% of Permanency Discharges Current FY to Date	% Permanency Discharges Rolling 12 Months	% of Kids Assigned to Volunteers to Capacity	% of Appointed Children Assigned to Volunteers	Volunteer Management Index (Cert/Disch)	% of Volunteers Certified as Educational Advocates	% of Volunteers Certified as Transportation Eligible	Operational Excellence Scorecard (Aggregate Ranking)	Community Partner	Child in Care 8 Days - 12 Months with No More than 2 Placements	Children Achieving & Permanency within 12 Months of Entering Care	Children Not Re-entering Out-of-Home Care within 12 Months of Achieving Permanency	Overall Score on Education Report Card	% of Adoptions within 24 Months
WGT		NR	NR	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0							
1	8	89.6%	90.2%	90.2%	88.1%	94.7%	1.58	41.8%	23.2%	35	PSF	84.2%	52.6%	88.8%	45.3%	72.7%
2	12	95.5%	90.6%	90.6%	113.7%	93.4%	1.06	29.8%	20.1%	44	SCC	83.6%	51.4%	91.8%	35.6%	57.1%
3	5	84.5%	73.8%	73.8%	127.4%	89.4%	1.46	40.7%	18.8%	48	KCI	87.8%	59.0%	90.1%	45.4%	37.5%
4	3	100.0%	89.4%	89.4%	150.0%	88.3%	1.80	29.8%	16.5%	49	PSF	84.2%	52.6%	88.8%	45.3%	72.7%
5	20	60.1%	70.9%	70.9%	91.2%	94.6%	1.20	41.3%	15.3%	63	CNSWFL	84.8%	51.9%	89.5%	14.3%	66.7%
6	10	80.5%	82.7%	82.7%	85.2%	86.9%	1.88	22.7%	30.8%	65	HFC	85.7%	47.0%	90.0%	37.4%	69.2%
7	18	73.6%	61.8%	61.8%	102.4%	91.2%	1.65	21.6%	18.8%	69	BFP	84.0%	55.8%	80.0%	12.8%	40.9%
8	19	72.5%	68.7%	68.7%	97.3%	80.3%	1.43	44.6%	18.1%	70	UFF	82.6%	40.0%	88.5%	15.9%	50.0%
9	6	55.8%	77.2%	77.2%	94.8%	76.9%	1.51	39.1%	17.2%	73	ECA	82.6%	51.5%	88.9%	51.5%	64.4%
10	1	66.8%	65.9%	65.9%	86.1%	74.3%	2.78	49.5%	16.2%	79	FFN	86.5%	57.4%	94.8%	54.6%	44.0%
10	16	100.0%	73.5%	73.5%	89.1%	81.7%	3.10	24.4%	12.2%	79	OK	86.2%	47.1%	93.0%	58.4%	32.3%
12	4	70.6%	73.5%	73.5%	64.5%	76.8%	1.75	43.5%	17.8%	80	FSS	90.4%	62.6%	93.1%	68.0%	94.4%
13	14	87.8%	86.3%	86.3%	75.9%	82.2%	1.32	29.1%	17.6%	81	BB	90.1%	48.5%	91.7%	30.0%	40.0%
14	2	87.3%	62.6%	62.6%	78.9%	85.9%	1.09	31.2%	21.3%	83	BB	90.1%	48.5%	91.7%	30.0%	40.0%
15	13	64.0%	43.8%	43.8%	95.8%	69.6%	2.70	49.4%	10.1%	87	ECH	84.9%	52.2%	90.2%	51.5%	61.9%
15	17	74.5%	64.4%	64.4%	89.3%	65.3%	3.53	23.3%	21.0%	87	CN	94.1%	50.7%	91.4%	65.3%	47.4%
17	15	72.6%	62.6%	62.6%	112.2%	76.6%	2.48	24.7%	14.4%	91	CPB	91.2%	46.0%	90.0%	73.2%	81.3%
18	7	75.3%	70.4%	70.4%	87.9%	68.7%	1.93	27.9%	16.3%	95	CPC	87.9%	33.3%	85.4%	65.7%	42.9%
19	9 OS	76.7%	88.1%	88.1%	54.8%	62.5%	2.24	25.7%	11.4%	104	CBC9	88.8%	43.1%	92.7%	71.6%	45.0%
20	11	56.9%	75.7%	75.7%	79.3%	58.2%	0.99	26.5%	11.6%	118	OK	86.2%	47.1%	93.0%	58.4%	32.3%
*	9 OC	97.3%				89.3%				12	CBC9	88.8%	43.1%	92.7%	71.6%	45.0%
Statewide		72.0%	70.6%	70.6%	91.4%	78.0%	1.73	34.5%	17.9%			86.9%	50.1%	90.7%	51.0%	57.8%

Notes:

\* For Orange County, no recruiting numbers are provided - Program primarily replaces current volunteers

- A. Aggregate Ranking results are summed Circuit ranking scores- lower number equals higher ranking
- B. Color Coding: Green cells: At or better than median performance; Red cells: Below median performance