

## GAL Program Effectiveness Measures

March 2013

### GAL Program Effectiveness Measures

### GAL Influence on Child Welfare Outcomes

Rank	Circuit	% of Children Assigned to GAL Program	% of Permanency Discharges Current FY to Date	% Permanency Discharges Rolling 12 Months	% of Kids Assigned to Volunteers to Capacity	% of Appointed Children Assigned to Volunteers	Volunteer Management Index (Cert/Disch)	% of Volunteers Certified as Educational Advocates	% of Volunteers Certified as Transportation Eligible	Operational Excellence Scorecard (Aggregate Ranking)	Community Partner	Children Reunited within 12 months of Entry	Reunited Children Who Re-entered Care within 12 months	% of Adoptions within 24 months (Quarter 2 FY 12/13)	Child in Care & Days - 12 Months with No More than 2 Placements	Medical, Immunization and Dental Services	Children Ages 5-17 Enrolled in School
WGT		NR	NR	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0								
1	12	94.4%	89.7%	90.4%	121.9%	93.8%	1.04	27.1%	18.1%	42	SCC	42.3%	11.2%	51.9%	85.8%	95.2%	100.0%
2	8	87.8%	89.6%	89.4%	88.3%	97.0%	1.39	24.7%	20.2%	46	PSF	54.9%	8.1%	72.7%	85.8%	94.0%	99.7%
3	20	62.6%	69.4%	69.6%	96.1%	94.7%	1.55	43.2%	12.5%	49	CNSWFL	53.6%	5.9%	55.2%	83.8%	92.2%	100.0%
4	3	100.0%	88.9%	88.4%	155.1%	89.1%	2.18	22.8%	10.6%	56	PSF	54.9%	8.1%	35.3%	85.8%	94.0%	99.7%
5	5	80.9%	73.5%	71.3%	130.5%	92.2%	1.39	18.6%	16.5%	58	KCI	59.5%	17.1%	49.1%	86.2%	94.7%	100.0%
6	19	65.7%	68.9%	67.5%	99.3%	83.7%	1.54	36.4%	15.0%	59	UFF	47.6%	14.5%	58.6%	81.4%	94.5%	100.0%
7	16	100.0%	68.3%	65.6%	100.3%	87.0%	7.00	26.4%	12.1%	61	OK	43.3%	11.8%	50.0%	85.9%	97.8%	100.0%
8	10	70.6%	83.9%	83.2%	74.7%	83.0%	2.03	23.6%	24.8%	69	HFC	51.1%	16.8%	51.0%	81.6%	90.9%	98.8%
9	6	56.0%	75.5%	71.9%	99.3%	73.7%	1.57	29.4%	13.7%	70	ECA	39.8%	8.1%	65.6%	82.3%	96.7%	100.0%
10	2	84.0%	60.5%	63.0%	79.5%	90.0%	1.13	25.8%	18.7%	73	BB	46.1%	7.1%	80.0%	88.5%	92.4%	99.5%
11	18	69.9%	57.0%	61.2%	101.4%	88.5%	1.51	20.7%	14.2%	74	BFP	49.6%	12.9%	46.3%	86.5%	96.6%	99.7%
11	14	82.8%	87.8%	86.1%	73.5%	83.7%	1.21	29.4%	13.2%	74	BB	46.1%	7.1%	60.0%	88.5%	92.4%	99.5%
13	15	72.2%	58.5%	59.6%	111.3%	77.9%	2.51	26.7%	11.6%	78	CPB	47.0%	16.8%	62.2%	85.4%	95.7%	100.0%
14	1	60.9%	61.8%	55.5%	80.5%	70.6%	2.89	44.8%	11.1%	85	FFN	61.5%	15.0%	55.9%	89.2%	94.6%	99.6%
15	4	65.2%	71.0%	67.5%	67.8%	82.1%	1.96	34.9%	8.8%	87	FSS	43.8%	3.3%	78.3%	89.1%	95.7%	100.0%
16	13	63.3%	41.7%	39.1%	89.8%	65.1%	2.99	44.2%	8.7%	91	ECH	59.4%	12.3%	56.3%	84.1%	96.3%	100.0%
17	17	68.3%	63.1%	61.1%	75.0%	57.8%	3.55	26.6%	15.6%	93	CN	49.8%	7.7%	42.9%	91.4%	95.4%	100.0%
18	7	72.8%	65.6%	57.2%	85.0%	65.9%	1.52	29.1%	13.2%	95	CPC	39.3%	15.4%	46.2%	89.0%	88.3%	99.3%
19	9 OS	73.3%	85.9%	86.8%	53.2%	58.4%	2.43	9.3%	9.9%	108	CBC9	49.6%	6.0%	50.9%	89.5%	97.6%	99.5%
20	11	59.1%	75.9%	75.5%	84.8%	62.3%	0.92	23.6%	10.0%	110	OK	43.3%	11.8%	48.2%	85.9%	97.8%	100.0%
*	9 OC	100.0%				81.4%				26	CBC9	49.6%	6.0%	50.9%	89.5%	97.6%	99.5%
Statewide		70.2%	68.8%	67.1%	90.3%	76.8%	1.78	29.6%	14.1%			49.7%	10.7%	56.5%	86.1%	95.0%	99.8%

Notes:

\* For Orange County, no recruiting numbers are provided - Program primarily replaces current volunteers

- A. Aggregate Ranking results are summed Circuit ranking scores- lower number equals higher ranking
- B. Color Coding: Green cells: At or better than median performance; Red cells: Below median performance