

## GAL Program Effectiveness Measures

May 2013

### GAL Program Effectiveness Measures

### GAL Influence on Child Welfare Outcomes

Rank	Circuit	% of Children Assigned to GAL Program	% of Permanency Discharges Current FY to Date	% Permanency Discharges Rolling 12 Months	% of Kids Assigned to Volunteers to Capacity	% of Appointed Children Assigned to Volunteers	Volunteer Management Index (Cert/Disch)	% of Volunteers Certified as Educational Advocates	% of Volunteers Certified as Transportation Eligible	Operational Excellence Scorecard (Aggregate Ranking)	Community Partner	Children Reunited within 12 months of Entry	Reunited Children Who Re-entered Care within 12 months	% of Adoptions within 24 months (Quarter 3 FY 12/13)	Child in Care > Days - 12 Months with No More than 2 Placements	Medical, Immunization and Dental Services	Children Ages 5-17 Enrolled in School
WGT		NR	NR	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0								
1	8	89.9%	89.6%	90.1%	89.1%	94.7%	1.56	39.4%	22.1%	34	PSF	53.3%	10.1%	84.6%	83.3%	96.8%	100.0%
2	12	95.3%	90.3%	90.6%	118.4%	93.2%	1.02	30.4%	19.7%	40	SCC	43.6%	6.7%	15.8%	83.9%	97.4%	100.0%
3	3	100.0%	89.2%	88.5%	151.9%	88.7%	1.73	28.9%	15.7%	49	PSF	53.3%	10.1%	50.0%	83.3%	96.8%	100.0%
4	5	83.9%	73.7%	72.8%	130.4%	89.1%	1.40	27.4%	18.3%	58	KCI	57.9%	12.8%	62.5%	87.6%	95.8%	98.3%
5	20	59.0%	70.8%	70.9%	91.0%	94.5%	1.29	42.5%	14.7%	60	CNSWFL	45.4%	10.7%	55.0%	84.3%	91.5%	99.6%
6	16	100.0%	72.7%	73.9%	98.4%	87.0%	3.44	24.2%	12.1%	67	OK	44.7%	12.6%	0.0%	85.3%	98.6%	100.0%
6	10	76.6%	82.2%	81.1%	81.6%	85.5%	2.01	23.0%	29.4%	67	HFC	41.5%	15.1%	22.2%	83.5%	93.7%	100.0%
8	19	69.5%	68.8%	68.4%	98.0%	80.4%	1.40	36.3%	18.5%	69	UFF	45.1%	21.8%	61.5%	85.0%	96.6%	100.0%
9	6	55.9%	76.1%	75.4%	97.9%	75.6%	1.54	36.5%	15.8%	71	ECA	43.4%	12.0%	72.9%	83.3%	98.1%	99.9%
10	18	71.4%	57.5%	59.2%	104.3%	91.3%	1.60	21.9%	16.8%	72	BFP	49.4%	14.3%	78.6%	83.1%	96.9%	100.0%
11	2	85.4%	63.6%	63.1%	81.8%	91.0%	1.09	28.3%	19.5%	75	BB	44.5%	13.8%	88.9%	90.0%	92.4%	99.7%
12	14	87.6%	87.1%	86.5%	74.5%	82.1%	1.25	30.0%	17.7%	76	BB	44.5%	13.8%	100.0%	90.0%	92.4%	99.7%
13	1	64.0%	64.9%	63.3%	85.2%	72.7%	2.72	46.7%	15.6%	77	FFN	60.0%	10.9%	40.0%	87.7%	94.2%	98.2%
14	4	71.1%	72.9%	72.0%	65.7%	77.3%	1.77	43.0%	15.4%	79	FSS	43.8%	5.4%	82.0%	89.2%	97.7%	100.0%
15	15	72.1%	61.8%	61.0%	111.2%	74.6%	2.32	25.7%	13.7%	87	CPB	44.4%	15.7%	68.4%	90.0%	98.9%	100.0%
15	13	63.2%	42.2%	42.2%	94.6%	68.9%	2.80	48.1%	10.0%	87	ECH	49.5%	8.0%	61.7%	84.4%	97.2%	98.7%
17	17	73.5%	64.2%	63.2%	88.1%	66.0%	3.90	23.9%	19.5%	89	CN	54.4%	12.7%	50.0%	93.5%	97.6%	100.0%
18	7	75.0%	69.8%	67.4%	85.4%	66.2%	1.88	27.9%	14.0%	98	CPC	30.3%	16.9%	62.2%	87.7%	93.5%	99.8%
19	9 OS	75.3%	87.0%	86.0%	54.3%	61.0%	2.27	26.2%	11.0%	104	CBC9	45.0%	11.4%	31.4%	88.6%	97.8%	99.6%
20	11	59.7%	75.7%	75.7%	77.3%	57.8%	0.97	27.0%	10.3%	119	OK	44.7%	12.6%	37.3%	85.3%	98.6%	100.0%
*	9 OC	100.0%				69.4%				32	CBC9	45.0%	11.4%	31.4%	88.6%	97.8%	99.6%
Statewide		72.5%	69.9%	69.4%	91.5%	76.5%	1.73	32.8%	16.9%			46.9%	11.6%	57.1%	86.6%	96.5%	99.6%

Notes:

\* For Orange County, no recruiting numbers are provided - Program primarily replaces current volunteers

- A. Aggregate Ranking results are summed Circuit ranking scores- lower number equals higher ranking
- B. Color Coding: Green cells: At or better than median performance; Red cells: Below median performance