

GAL Program Effectiveness Measures

October 2012

GAL Program Effectiveness Measures

GAL Influence on Child Welfare Outcomes

Rank	Circuit	% of Children Assigned to GAL Program	% of Permanency Discharges Current FY to Date	% Permanency Discharges Rolling 12 Months	% of Kids Assigned to Volunteers to Capacity	% of Appointed Children Assigned to Volunteers	Volunteer Management Index (Cert/Disch)	% of Volunteers Certified as Educational Advocates	% of Volunteers Certified as Transportation Eligible	Operational Excellence Scorecard (Aggregate Ranking)	Community Partner	Children Reunited within 12 months of Entry	Reunited Children Who Re-entered Care within 12 months	% of Adoptions within 24 months (Quarter 1 FY 12/13)	Child in Care 8 Days - 12 Months with No More than 2 Placements	Medical, Immunization and Dental Services	Children Ages 5-17 Enrolled in School
WGT		NR	NR	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0								
1	12	94.5%	89.4%	90.6%	126.2%	92.4%	0.93	20.1%	12.8%	41	SCC	58.7%	12.1%	46.2%	86.9%	92.6%	99.7%
2	5	91.7%	71.9%	65.8%	142.9%	92.5%	1.52	18.0%	12.0%	44	KCI	54.2%	11.4%	74.1%	86.5%	93.0%	98.8%
3	8	90.5%	88.7%	88.0%	89.5%	89.1%	1.09	21.5%	20.4%	48	PSF	50.3%	5.7%	77.3%	87.1%	92.9%	100.0%
4	20	61.6%	61.5%	64.9%	95.5%	91.9%	1.50	38.9%	10.2%	49	CNSWFL	43.2%	7.8%	25.0%	84.5%	91.5%	99.8%
5	16	99.2%	30.0%	69.9%	83.1%	79.5%	10.00	19.2%	11.0%	60	OK	41.4%	16.9%	0.0%	83.5%	92.1%	99.5%
6	18	78.8%	62.3%	69.0%	109.0%	85.0%	1.72	11.7%	9.4%	65	BFP	46.7%	21.0%	63.2%	87.6%	94.0%	98.5%
7	2	87.8%	58.3%	69.2%	79.8%	86.3%	0.64	23.6%	11.3%	68	BB	48.2%	6.0%	66.7%	84.7%	88.0%	99.7%
7	14	82.6%	89.5%	80.6%	69.7%	80.2%	1.00	29.4%	10.5%	68	BB	48.2%	6.0%	53.3%	84.7%	88.0%	99.7%
9	3	100.0%	88.4%	89.9%	135.7%	71.9%	2.00	13.6%	5.9%	71	PSF	50.3%	5.7%	100.0%	87.1%	92.9%	100.0%
9	10	64.7%	84.0%	84.6%	64.8%	76.6%	2.21	10.8%	14.9%	71	HFC	50.0%	12.9%	39.1%	81.1%	88.9%	95.6%
11	19	66.3%	65.5%	63.7%	103.3%	84.4%	1.19	12.1%	7.5%	77	UFF	40.0%	17.0%	58.1%	81.5%	87.6%	100.0%
12	6	55.5%	69.9%	63.2%	101.7%	72.6%	1.63	20.8%	8.6%	81	ECA	53.0%	12.2%	50.0%	87.0%	89.1%	99.3%
13	1	55.9%	54.8%	44.2%	82.0%	67.4%	2.67	24.4%	9.5%	84	FFN	37.4%	8.8%	68.6%	85.8%	90.3%	100.0%
14	15	71.4%	56.5%	57.7%	97.3%	71.8%	1.75	33.9%	4.2%	86	CFC	28.4%	10.0%	39.3%	85.1%	83.6%	97.3%
15	4	66.8%	61.6%	63.4%	70.5%	74.9%	0.96	39.7%	6.0%	88	FSS	44.3%	10.9%	77.3%	86.4%	92.1%	99.8%
16	17	67.3%	57.0%	63.0%	68.0%	52.7%	6.77	30.8%	9.2%	91	CN	51.7%	9.1%	65.4%	86.2%	92.9%	99.7%
17	13	62.6%	44.8%	39.2%	86.8%	61.4%	3.18	26.2%	5.8%	93	ECH	48.0%	18.9%	37.9%	81.4%	93.9%	99.2%
18	11	61.7%	75.6%	75.4%	85.0%	57.9%	1.89	4.8%	4.4%	103	OK	41.4%	16.9%	41.4%	83.5%	92.1%	99.5%
19	9 OS	65.2%	83.5%	80.2%	49.9%	51.9%	2.67	10.6%	7.0%	106	CBC9	44.9%	9.3%	72.7%	85.2%	85.3%	96.1%
20	7	59.1%	51.6%	50.7%	76.2%	63.7%	0.48	24.2%	7.4%	109	CPC	29.1%	7.4%	46.8%	87.8%	76.5%	99.4%
*	9 OC	97.1%				91.0%				8	CBC9	44.9%	9.3%	72.7%	85.2%	85.3%	96.1%
Statewide		69.0%	66.0%	64.7%	88.8%	73.9%	1.00	22.5%	9.4%			46.0%	10.4%	56.6%	85.5%	89.6%	98.9%

Notes:

* For Orange County, no recruiting numbers are provided - Program primarily replaces current volunteers

A. Aggregate Ranking results are summed Circuit ranking scores- lower number equals higher ranking

B. Color Coding: Green cells: At or better than median performance; Red cells: Below median performance