

## GAL Program Effectiveness Measures

September 2012

### GAL Program Effectiveness Measures

### GAL Influence on Child Welfare Outcomes

Rank	Circuit	% of Children Assigned to GAL Program	% of Permanency Discharges Current FY to Date	% Permanency Discharges Rolling 12 Months	% of Kids Assigned to Volunteers to Capacity	% of Appointed Children Assigned to Volunteers	Volunteer Management Index (Cert/Disch)	% of Volunteers Certified as Educational Advocates	% of Volunteers Certified as Transportation Eligible	Operational Excellence Scorecard (Aggregate Ranking)	Community Partner	Children Reunited within 12 months of Entry	Reunited Children Who Re-entered Care within 12 months	% of Adoptions within 24 months (Quarter 1 FY 12/13)	Child in Care 8 Days - 12 Months with No More than 2 Placements	Medical, Immunization and Dental Services	Children Ages 5-17 Enrolled in School
WGT		NR	NR	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0								
1	12	96.2%	89.5%	89.6%	126.4%	92.1%	0.95	20.0%	9.2%	36	SCC	58.7%	12.1%	46.2%	86.9%	92.6%	99.7%
2	5	83.0%	71.1%	65.6%	137.0%	91.5%	1.88	14.7%	9.7%	39	KCI	54.2%	11.4%	74.1%	86.5%	93.0%	98.8%
3	20	60.7%	59.4%	65.3%	93.5%	91.3%	1.21	37.1%	9.3%	45	CNSWFL	43.2%	7.8%	25.0%	84.5%	91.5%	99.8%
4	16	100.0%	30.0%	68.8%	88.3%	81.7%	8.00	13.7%	8.2%	56	OK	41.4%	16.9%	0.0%	83.5%	92.1%	99.5%
4	8	91.2%	86.9%	87.2%	80.8%	79.8%	0.82	22.4%	15.6%	56	PSF	50.3%	5.7%	77.3%	87.1%	92.9%	100.0%
6	18	77.1%	64.5%	71.1%	106.2%	84.7%	1.78	12.1%	7.2%	59	BFP	46.7%	21.0%	63.2%	87.6%	94.0%	98.5%
7	10	63.9%	82.6%	84.1%	65.4%	76.9%	2.39	10.9%	12.8%	68	HFC	50.0%	12.9%	39.1%	81.1%	88.9%	95.6%
8	3	100.0%	88.8%	88.5%	132.0%	68.6%	1.75	13.8%	6.0%	74	PSF	50.3%	5.7%	100.0%	87.1%	92.9%	100.0%
9	2	92.2%	500.8%	70.0%	79.1%	81.5%	0.70	17.9%	8.1%	77	BB	48.2%	6.0%	66.7%	84.7%	88.0%	99.7%
10	14	82.5%	88.4%	79.4%	62.9%	76.7%	0.55	30.3%	8.3%	78	BB	48.2%	6.0%	53.3%	84.7%	88.0%	99.7%
11	17	69.2%	54.5%	63.2%	69.7%	53.6%	7.88	31.9%	9.2%	80	CN	51.7%	9.1%	65.4%	86.2%	92.9%	99.7%
12	15	75.1%	56.6%	57.5%	95.6%	71.5%	1.60	35.3%	4.3%	82	CFC	28.4%	10.0%	39.3%	85.1%	83.6%	97.3%
12	1	55.3%	50.7%	42.9%	80.1%	65.6%	3.64	22.1%	9.2%	82	FFN	37.4%	8.8%	68.6%	85.8%	90.3%	100.0%
14	6	54.9%	75.3%	61.9%	98.6%	71.0%	1.58	19.6%	6.4%	83	ECA	53.0%	12.2%	50.0%	87.0%	89.1%	99.3%
14	19	64.8%	65.8%	63.0%	95.9%	77.4%	1.00	12.4%	6.2%	83	UFF	40.0%	17.0%	58.1%	81.5%	87.6%	100.0%
16	4	60.9%	51.9%	59.9%	68.5%	75.5%	0.79	40.7%	6.1%	91	FSS	44.3%	10.9%	77.3%	86.4%	92.1%	99.8%
17	13	62.8%	38.9%	36.8%	83.4%	59.6%	4.06	23.1%	4.7%	95	ECH	48.0%	18.9%	37.9%	81.4%	93.9%	99.2%
18	7	56.9%	57.3%	49.7%	70.8%	61.1%	0.36	24.3%	7.4%	104	CPC	29.1%	7.4%	46.8%	87.8%	76.5%	99.4%
19	9 OS	65.2%	79.1%	77.1%	50.4%	50.6%	3.25	10.6%	6.3%	106	CBC9	44.9%	9.3%	72.7%	85.2%	85.3%	96.1%
20	11	61.2%	78.5%	75.3%	85.1%	58.7%	1.41	4.7%	4.2%	107	OK	41.4%	16.9%	41.4%	83.5%	92.1%	99.5%
*	9 OC	97.8%			86.4%					8	CBC9	44.9%	9.3%	72.7%	85.2%	85.3%	96.1%
<b>Statewide</b>		<b>68.3%</b>	<b>64.5%</b>	<b>63.9%</b>	<b>86.5%</b>	<b>72.4%</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>21.6%</b>	<b>8.0%</b>			<b>46.0%</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>56.6%</b>	<b>85.5%</b>	<b>89.6%</b>	<b>98.9%</b>

Notes:

\* For Orange County, no recruiting numbers are provided - Program primarily replaces current volunteers

A. Aggregate Ranking results are summed Circuit ranking scores- lower number equals higher ranking

B. Color Coding: Green cells: At or better than median performance; Red cells: Below median performance