

## GAL Program Effectiveness Measures

December 2012

### GAL Program Effectiveness Measures

### GAL Influence on Child Welfare Outcomes

Rank	Circuit	% of Children Assigned to GAL Program	% of Permanency Discharges Current FY to Date	% Permanency Discharges Rolling 12 Months	% of Kids Assigned to Volunteers to Capacity	% of Appointed Children Assigned to Volunteers	Volunteer Management Index (Cert/Disch)	% of Volunteers Certified as Educational Advocates	% of Volunteers Certified as Transportation Eligible	Operational Excellence Scorecard (Aggregate Ranking)	Community Partner	Children Reunited within 12 months of Entry	Reunited Children Who Re-entered Care within 12 months	% of Adoptions within 24 months (Quarter 2 FY 12/13)	Child in Care 8 Days - 12 Months with No More than 2 Placements	Medical, Immunization and Dental Services	Children Ages 5-17 Enrolled in School
WGT		NR	NR	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0								
1	8	85.8%	92.0%	90.7%	85.4%	89.8%	2.75	20.5%	20.5%	43	PSF	53.1%	6.1%	72.7%	87.0%	93.9%	99.7%
2	12	94.6%	87.9%	90.1%	123.8%	92.6%	0.97	20.0%	16.6%	45	SCC	46.8%	4.2%	51.9%	86.9%	95.6%	100.0%
2	20	62.0%	64.6%	67.2%	98.0%	95.3%	2.08	36.8%	11.2%	45	CNSWFL	51.8%	11.5%	55.2%	84.7%	91.6%	99.4%
4	5	83.9%	78.2%	68.3%	133.2%	90.0%	1.17	17.8%	13.9%	57	KCI	52.0%	20.0%	49.1%	86.9%	92.9%	97.2%
5	16	100.0%	55.6%	65.8%	87.7%	82.0%	5.00	18.9%	12.2%	61	OK	42.1%	6.7%	50.0%	85.8%	92.4%	99.3%
6	14	83.7%	87.3%	81.9%	68.8%	78.2%	3.17	29.9%	11.5%	65	BB	40.0%	7.3%	60.0%	84.5%	85.9%	100.0%
7	2	92.5%	53.4%	63.9%	84.5%	86.8%	2.70	22.3%	11.8%	66	BB	40.0%	7.3%	80.0%	84.5%	85.9%	100.0%
8	18	72.9%	56.8%	65.3%	103.2%	86.5%	1.41	20.2%	11.6%	71	BFP	52.8%	14.9%	46.3%	88.0%	94.5%	99.7%
9	15	77.3%	57.6%	58.2%	104.8%	77.9%	4.53	29.6%	7.8%	72	CFC	53.0%	15.6%	62.2%	86.6%	93.9%	100.0%
10	3	100.0%	89.2%	89.8%	142.7%	76.7%	2.67	15.0%	8.3%	73	PSF	53.1%	6.1%	35.3%	87.0%	93.9%	99.7%
10	19	62.3%	67.1%	67.0%	100.5%	88.7%	1.56	18.7%	9.2%	73	UFF	35.9%	8.1%	58.6%	80.7%	91.6%	100.0%
12	6	57.0%	74.5%	66.1%	100.8%	73.2%	2.00	23.6%	10.4%	78	ECA	50.8%	14.6%	65.6%	83.7%	92.9%	99.4%
12	10	66.8%	85.0%	83.5%	68.6%	78.3%	1.48	15.8%	21.7%	78	HFC	48.6%	6.8%	51.0%	79.7%	88.5%	99.5%
14	4	66.6%	67.9%	65.1%	64.8%	72.8%	5.64	37.2%	6.8%	85	FSS	36.0%	16.9%	78.3%	90.3%	94.1%	99.8%
15	1	58.2%	56.6%	45.3%	78.8%	66.5%	2.19	37.3%	9.6%	91	FFN	50.9%	8.6%	55.9%	87.0%	92.1%	99.6%
16	17	68.3%	60.0%	60.7%	71.2%	56.1%	8.29	28.3%	10.0%	93	CN	44.1%	9.4%	42.9%	89.5%	95.6%	100.0%
17	7	67.5%	56.3%	50.2%	80.3%	65.4%	3.50	22.6%	9.1%	95	CPC	29.9%	12.1%	46.2%	87.3%	85.2%	99.6%
18	13	61.5%	41.5%	38.3%	86.0%	63.2%	2.48	31.3%	8.2%	97	ECH	53.5%	14.9%	56.3%	80.9%	94.5%	100.0%
19	9 OS	67.9%	84.5%	85.2%	53.9%	58.8%	3.80	9.7%	5.8%	107	CBC9	41.4%	5.9%	50.9%	87.6%	95.3%	99.7%
20	11	61.3%	76.4%	76.0%	81.3%	57.1%	2.12	12.6%	6.6%	111	OK	42.1%	6.7%	48.2%	85.8%	92.4%	99.3%
*	9 OC	100.0%				92.5%				6	CBC9	41.4%	5.9%	50.9%	87.6%	95.3%	99.7%
Statewide		69.6%	67.3%	64.8%	88.3%	75.1%	1.00	24.6%	11.3%			46.7%	10.6%	56.5%	85.6%	92.6%	99.5%

Notes:

- \* For Orange County, no recruiting numbers are provided - Program primarily replaces current volunteers
- A. Aggregate Ranking results are summed Circuit ranking scores- lower number equals higher ranking
- B. Color Coding: Green cells: At or better than median performance; Red cells: Below median performance