

GAL Program Effectiveness Measures

July 2012

GAL Program Effectiveness Measures

GAL Influence on Child Welfare Outcomes

Rank	Circuit	% of Children Assigned to GAL Program	% of Permanency Discharges Current FY to Date	% Permanency Discharges Rolling 12 Months	% of Kids Assigned to Volunteers to Capacity	% of Appointed Children Assigned to Volunteers	Volunteer Management Index (Cert/Disch)	% of Volunteers Certified as Educational Advocates	% of Volunteers Certified as Transportation Eligible	Operational Excellence Scorecard (Aggregate Ranking)	Community Partner	Children Reunited within 12 months of Entry	Reunited Children Who Re-entered Care within 12 months	% of Adoptions within 24 months (Quarter 4)	Child in Care 8 Days - 12 Months with No More than 2 Placements	Medical, Immunization and Dental Services	Children Ages 5-17 Enrolled in School
WGT		NR	NR	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0								
1	3	100.0%	87.5%	89.5%	128.5%	74.7%	1.50	13.3%	6.2%	53	PSF	49.5%	18.5%	90.9%	88.7%	90.3%	100.0%
2	5	81.5%	68.2%	64.5%	130.5%	92.1%	0.75	8.5%	7.7%	56	KCI	39.0%	15.0%	58.6%	88.3%	85.3%	95.8%
3	20	64.2%	56.6%	68.3%	88.4%	89.3%	0.56	34.0%	6.0%	57	CNSWFL	45.9%	3.3%	22.7%	82.5%	92.4%	100.0%
4	12	95.1%	83.3%	88.9%	120.3%	91.8%	0.09	20.9%	3.9%	62	SCC	57.4%	3.2%	52.6%	88.5%	87.8%	99.7%
5	16	93.2%	16.7%	74.2%	76.3%	79.1%	1.00	15.7%	7.1%	64	OK	33.6%	8.8%	50.0%	83.2%	93.3%	99.9%
6	18	78.5%	60.8%	70.8%	100.3%	85.7%	1.00	12.4%	4.2%	65	BFP	55.1%	17.9%	65.7%	90.8%	98.2%	99.6%
7	15	78.9%	60.4%	57.1%	93.2%	73.4%	3.50	37.0%	3.0%	70	CFC	32.5%	21.7%	42.4%	82.5%	76.5%	98.6%
8	8	86.4%	78.3%	86.2%	78.5%	80.3%	0.33	22.7%	4.5%	71	PSF	49.5%	18.5%	81.3%	88.7%	90.3%	100.0%
9	17	70.3%	17.1%	64.2%	67.5%	55.7%	25.00	26.0%	7.4%	78	CN	56.2%	8.5%	46.0%	83.7%	92.8%	99.9%
10	10	65.4%	89.7%	83.4%	60.7%	73.5%	1.00	8.2%	9.0%	80	HFC	54.5%	9.5%	43.9%	80.9%	85.5%	99.1%
10	2	81.4%	61.5%	71.1%	69.1%	86.0%	0.29	16.7%	5.1%	80	BB	38.5%	4.1%	76.2%	86.9%	89.6%	100.0%
12	1	52.8%	50.0%	43.8%	72.4%	63.0%	14.00	15.7%	5.1%	86	FFN	41.8%	14.7%	52.9%	85.6%	91.9%	100.0%
13	6	54.3%	71.1%	58.6%	90.9%	69.5%	1.50	12.5%	2.1%	93	ECA	54.9%	19.5%	58.3%	87.3%	89.5%	98.8%
14	14	85.6%	83.7%	76.8%	64.6%	80.2%	0.00	30.7%	1.4%	96	BB	38.5%	4.1%	80.0%	86.9%	89.6%	100.0%
15	19	62.8%	64.7%	62.6%	84.2%	75.0%	0.86	5.1%	2.7%	97	UFF	39.4%	8.7%	50.0%	82.6%	85.4%	99.7%
16	11	62.3%	79.5%	73.8%	83.9%	59.1%	2.00	0.0%	0.2%	102	OK	33.6%	8.8%	47.8%	83.2%	93.3%	99.9%
17	7	56.9%	61.5%	49.5%	68.2%	61.3%	0.00	24.5%	7.0%	113	CPC	28.6%	7.3%	50.0%	88.5%	78.5%	99.8%
18	4	60.2%	42.9%	59.8%	63.2%	71.6%	0.10	18.6%	3.1%	115	FSS	47.3%	7.8%	85.1%	84.5%	89.0%	99.6%
19	9 OS	66.9%	75.0%	71.4%	44.2%	45.4%	0.50	11.4%	2.3%	129	CBC9	48.6%	11.2%	47.6%	87.3%	84.0%	97.0%
20	13	62.0%	48.2%	35.9%	77.9%	59.3%	0.00	11.5%	3.4%	131	HKI	44.6%	15.5%	45.2%	85.2%	90.4%	97.8%
*	9 OC	96.4%				87.9%				8	CBC9	48.6%	11.2%	47.6%	87.3%	84.0%	97.0%
Statewide		68.1%	61.5%	62.9%	81.7%	72.2%	1.00	16.9%	4.6%			45.2%	11.7%	56.3%	85.9%	88.3%	99.0%

Notes:

* For Orange County, no recruiting numbers are provided - Program primarily replaces current volunteers

- A. Aggregate Ranking results are summed Circuit ranking scores- lower number equals higher ranking
- B. Color Coding: Green cells: At or better than median performance; Red cells: Below median performance