

# GAL Program Effectiveness Measures

June 2012

## GAL Program Effectiveness Measures

## GAL Influence on Child Welfare Outcomes

Rank	Circuit	% of Children Assigned to GAL Program	% of Permanency Discharges Current FY to Date	% Permanency Discharges Rolling 12 Months	% of Appointed Children Assigned to Volunteers	Volunteer Management Index (Cert/Disch)	% of Volunteers Certified as Educational Advocates	% of Volunteers Certified as Transportation Eligible	Operational Excellence Scorecard (Aggregate ranking)	Comm Partner	Children Reunified within 12 months of Entry	Reunified Children Who Re-entered Care within 12 months	% of Adoptions within 24 months (Quarter 4)	Child in Care 8 Days - 12 Months with No More than 2 Placements	Medical, Immunization and Dental Services	Children Ages 5-17 Enrolled in School
WGT	NR	NR	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0									
1	3	100.0%	86.7%	86.7%	77.4%	2.46	11.5%	5.3%	37	PSF	54.8%	21.3%	90.9%	88.2%	87.4%	100.0%
2	12	93.1%	88.6%	88.6%	92.5%	0.86	20.2%	3.6%	51	SCC	56.3%	5.8%	52.6%	85.1%	89.3%	99.7%
3	16	95.6%	77.4%	77.4%	75.2%	2.20	15.9%	2.9%	52	OK	31.3%	8.9%	50.0%	82.0%	92.2%	99.9%
4	8	90.0%	87.1%	87.1%	81.0%	1.24	16.9%	1.8%	59	PSF	54.8%	21.3%	81.3%	88.2%	87.4%	100.0%
5	5	79.3%	63.5%	63.5%	92.4%	1.07	8.5%	7.3%	60	KCI	39.8%	16.9%	58.6%	88.5%	83.0%	96.8%
6	4	59.0%	60.5%	60.5%	75.1%	2.24	17.9%	2.3%	63	FSS	39.4%	5.3%	85.1%	83.6%	83.1%	99.8%
7	20	64.5%	69.2%	69.2%	89.5%	0.57	33.8%	5.2%	63	CNSWFL	40.2%	4.8%	22.7%	81.6%	90.2%	100.0%
8	14	85.8%	77.3%	77.3%	78.1%	1.43	4.6%	1.4%	70	BB	37.9%	5.4%	80.0%	86.7%	91.4%	98.7%
9	1	51.5%	43.1%	43.1%	63.7%	2.32	15.2%	3.7%	71	FFN	48.6%	16.3%	52.9%	84.4%	92.9%	100.0%
10	10	66.2%	81.5%	81.5%	72.4%	1.33	3.1%	6.7%	72	HFC	57.8%	12.3%	43.9%	81.8%	85.2%	99.1%
11	2	79.7%	68.8%	68.8%	86.3%	1.06	16.1%	4.3%	74	BB	37.9%	5.4%	76.2%	86.7%	91.4%	98.7%
12	7	56.8%	48.8%	48.8%	63.2%	1.48	10.2%	5.5%	78	CPC	30.5%	9.4%	50.0%	87.9%	80.5%	99.8%
13	18	76.1%	71.8%	71.8%	83.6%	0.98	12.3%	1.0%	80	BFP	53.6%	12.3%	65.7%	92.4%	96.5%	100.0%
14	13	62.3%	34.2%	34.2%	61.7%	1.44	11.5%	2.9%	88	HKI	37.5%	9.4%	45.2%	88.0%	90.5%	99.2%
15	15	78.2%	58.0%	58.0%	75.1%	0.71	37.7%	2.8%	92	CFC	30.4%	19.5%	42.4%	81.2%	77.0%	99.8%
16	19	67.5%	63.3%	63.3%	75.7%	1.12	0.0%	2.7%	92	UFF	41.5%	5.7%	50.0%	83.8%	85.5%	100.0%
17	6	54.2%	58.5%	58.5%	69.7%	1.43	8.3%	1.1%	93	ECA	49.6%	20.1%	58.3%	87.1%	90.8%	99.1%
18	9 OS	62.2%	71.7%	71.7%	49.2%	1.21	11.3%	2.3%	101	CBC9	46.7%	12.0%	47.6%	88.1%	80.1%	97.4%
19	11	61.8%	73.3%	73.3%	54.7%	1.35	0.0%	0.2%	102	OK	31.3%	8.9%	47.8%	82.0%	92.2%	99.9%
20	17	80.0%	67.3%	67.3%	54.0%	0.97	6.8%	4.9%	108	CN	50.5%	8.9%	46.0%	82.9%	92.2%	100.0%
*	9 OC	97.6%			87.5%				8	CBC9	46.7%	12.0%	47.6%	88.1%	80.1%	97.4%
<b>Statewide</b>		<b>68.4%</b>		<b>62.9%</b>	<b>72.2%</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>3.3%</b>			<b>43.9%</b>	<b>12.0%</b>	<b>56.3%</b>	<b>85.5%</b>	<b>87.7%</b>	<b>99.3%</b>

Notes:

\* For Orange County, no recruiting numbers are provided - Program primarily replaces current volunteers

- A. Aggregate Ranking results are summed Circuit ranking scores- lower number equals higher ranking
- B. Color Coding: Green cells: At or better than median performance; Red cells: Needs improvement