

GAL Program Effectiveness Measures

November 2012

GAL Program Effectiveness Measures

GAL Influence on Child Welfare Outcomes

Rank	Circuit	% of Children Assigned to GAL Program	% of Permanency Discharges Current FY to Date	% Permanency Discharges Rolling 12 Months	% of Kids Assigned to Volunteers to Capacity	% of Appointed Children Assigned to Volunteers	Volunteer Management Index (Cert/Disch)	% of Volunteers Certified as Educational Advocates	% of Volunteers Certified as Transportation Eligible	Operational Excellence Scorecard (Aggregate Ranking)	Community Partner	Children Reunified within 12 months of Entry	Reunited Children Who Re-entered Care within 12 months	% of Adoptions within 24 months (Quarter 1 FY 12/13)	Child in Care 90 Days - 12 Months with No More than 2 Placements	Medical, Immunization and Dental Services	Children Ages 5-17 Enrolled in School
WGT		NR	NR	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0								
1	8	92.2%	89.3%	89.1%	92.4%	91.8%	3.30	20.3%	19.6%	39	PSF	56.5%	7.3%	77.3%	85.3%	95.3%	100.0%
2	20	62.2%	63.2%	65.8%	97.5%	93.6%	2.33	38.3%	11.4%	42	CNSWFL	52.6%	18.2%	25.0%	84.4%	92.9%	99.8%
3	12	95.4%	89.3%	90.7%	126.8%	93.0%	1.21	19.7%	14.8%	43	SCC	48.3%	4.7%	46.2%	86.0%	94.6%	100.0%
4	5	84.3%	75.1%	66.7%	140.7%	90.9%	1.47	17.7%	12.8%	58	KCI	47.4%	16.8%	74.1%	88.3%	93.2%	96.1%
5	16	100.0%	45.0%	65.8%	99.7%	82.4%	10.00	18.4%	11.8%	59	OK	47.7%	6.7%	0.0%	84.3%	90.9%	99.8%
6	14	82.1%	87.2%	80.1%	69.3%	80.2%	4.00	29.1%	10.7%	62	BB	41.3%	6.5%	53.3%	84.2%	91.0%	99.5%
7	2	91.2%	56.9%	66.5%	84.1%	87.6%	1.88	22.7%	12.4%	66	BB	41.3%	6.5%	66.7%	84.2%	91.0%	99.5%
8	18	76.6%	60.1%	67.4%	102.9%	82.9%	1.62	19.9%	11.0%	67	BFP	45.2%	10.9%	63.2%	86.2%	95.9%	99.7%
9	3	100.0%	89.1%	90.4%	144.5%	78.6%	2.00	15.1%	6.7%	71	PSF	56.5%	7.3%	100.0%	85.3%	95.3%	100.0%
10	19	63.6%	65.0%	65.3%	103.4%	89.4%	0.71	21.2%	9.4%	75	UFF	43.1%	5.5%	58.1%	81.3%	93.5%	100.0%
11	15	74.2%	57.7%	59.1%	101.5%	76.5%	2.92	32.3%	7.9%	76	CFC	46.0%	11.7%	39.3%	87.3%	93.1%	99.8%
12	4	67.6%	67.1%	65.3%	68.6%	73.3%	4.75	38.7%	6.9%	81	FSS	31.1%	20.0%	77.3%	88.6%	95.2%	99.8%
12	6	56.4%	72.6%	65.2%	104.3%	74.7%	1.87	23.3%	9.9%	81	ECA	46.3%	14.9%	50.0%	84.1%	92.9%	99.9%
14	10	65.5%	84.7%	83.7%	65.8%	76.9%	1.11	10.8%	15.9%	87	HFC	50.4%	7.5%	39.1%	79.3%	90.6%	98.9%
15	17	69.2%	57.9%	62.4%	70.6%	54.4%	6.00	29.5%	9.6%	92	CN	50.5%	7.7%	65.4%	88.8%	96.4%	99.9%
16	13	63.3%	44.8%	39.5%	86.8%	60.8%	3.79	30.8%	5.9%	95	ECH	46.6%	17.3%	37.9%	80.1%	96.1%	100.0%
16	1	57.2%	52.8%	44.1%	82.1%	67.1%	2.21	23.6%	9.6%	95	FFN	46.2%	6.0%	68.6%	87.9%	92.9%	99.7%
18	7	60.6%	56.7%	51.4%	76.8%	63.5%	2.00	24.0%	8.3%	100	CPC	29.7%	8.1%	46.8%	87.5%	86.6%	99.8%
19	11	61.7%	75.5%	75.6%	85.9%	59.0%	2.45	13.1%	6.2%	102	OK	47.7%	6.7%	41.4%	84.3%	90.9%	99.8%
20	9 OS	66.6%	83.7%	82.4%	51.9%	56.2%	3.75	9.9%	5.9%	110	CBC9	43.6%	8.4%	72.7%	85.7%	93.5%	99.4%
*	9 OC	95.9%				91.0%				8	CBC9	43.6%	8.4%	72.7%	85.7%	93.5%	99.4%
Statewide		69.3%	66.8%	65.0%	89.7%	74.7%	1.00	23.9%	10.4%			45.7%	10.6%	56.6%	85.3%	93.1%	99.5%

Notes:

* For Orange County, no recruiting numbers are provided - Program primarily replaces current volunteers

A. Aggregate Ranking results are summed Circuit ranking scores - lower number equals higher ranking

B. Color Coding: Green cells: At or better than median performance; Red cells: Below median performance